Case: 4:12-cv-00051-SA-JMV Doc #: 1 Filed: 06/25/12 1 of 13 PageID #

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI GREENVILLE DIVISION

REV	2012 ESTERNO
	Deputy
	REV

JEFFREY E. WIGGINTON,  Plaintiff	) ) )	Civil Action No. 4:12 4/5/- 5/ J	M
<b>v.</b>	) ) )		
WASHINGTON COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI;	)		
SHERIFF MILTON GASTON, in his official	)		
and individual capacities; and WASHINGTON COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT	)		
COUNTY SHERIFF S DEFARTMENT	<i>)</i> }		
Defendant.	)	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED	

### **COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff, by and through his attorney, for his Complaint allege, upon knowledge as to himself and otherwise upon information and belief, as follows:

# I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- 1. This action is also brought pursuant to 42 U.S.c. § 2000 et seq., Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended by the Civil Rights Act of 1991, for unlawful race discrimination and retaliation suffered for reporting discriminatory activity.
- 2. This is an action to recover actual, nominal, compensatory and punitive damages for race discrimination. Additionally, Plaintiff seeks actual, nominal and punitive damages because of the retaliatory action taken against him after he complained of the discriminatory treatment suffered at the workplace.
- 3. The relief Plaintiff seeks is supported by satisfactory proofs, including the public records, facts and other documentation referenced throughout the Complaint.

4. Aside from the damages stated in Paragraph 2, Plaintiff seeks the costs of litigation, including reasonable attorney's fees.

# II. JURISDICTION

- 7. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.c. §§ 1331 and 1343(3)(4), which confers original jurisdiction on federal district courts to redress the deprivation of rights, privileges and immunities as stated herein. It also has federal question jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.c. § 1331.
- 8. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.c. § 1367.

## III. VENUE

9. Venue is proper in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Mississippi, Greenville Division, pursuant to 28 U.S.c. § 1391(b), because the claims arise in Washington County, Mississippi.

## IV. IDENTIFICATION OF PARTIES.

- 10. Plaintiff, JEFFREY WIGGINGTON, of 2851 Wilcox Road, Leland, Mississippi 38756...
- 11. Defendant, WASHINGTON COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI is a political subdivision of the State of Mississippi which may be served with process by service upon its President of the Board of Supervisors, Mr. Paul Watson, Jr. at 900 Washington Avenue, Greenville, Mississippi. Defendant is an employer within the meaning of Title VII.
- 12. Defendant, MILTON GASTON, is the Sheriff of Washington County, Mississippi, and at all times acts under the color of state law and may be served with process at his place of employment, Washington County Sheriff's Department located at 903 West Alexander Street, Greenville, Mississippi. Defendant Gaston is sued in his official and individual capacitates.

13. Defendant Washington County Sheriffs Department, is a local government entity which carries out the official law enforcement acts of Washington County, Mississippi, by and through its Sheriff. It may be served with process upon its Sheriff, Milton Gaston, at his place of employment, Washington County Sheriffs Department, located at 903 West Alexander Street, Greenville, Mississippi. Defendant is an employer within the meaning of Tide VII.

# VI. STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 14. Plaintiff filed a charge with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (hereinafter "EEOC"), attached hereto as Exhibit "A," related to his race and retaliation claims, and has received the right to sue letter dated March, 28, 2012, attached hereto as Exhibit "B."
- 15. Plaintiff was employed by Defendants for more than five (5) years as a deputy sheriff.
- 16. Plaintiffs pnmary duty was as a "road deputy" working patrol of Washington County, Mississippi.
- 17. When Plaintiff began his employment with Defendants, the Washington County Sheriffs Department employed approximately thirty-five (35) people. The racial makeup of the department at the time was about equally mixed between black and white employees; eighteen (18) black and seventeen (17) white. Today, upon information and belief, the Washington County Sheriffs Department only employs six (6) whites in the entire department.
- 18. Plaintiff was an excellent employee who took his job seriously and performed his duties well. Prior to 2011, and only a few months preceding his termination, Plaintiff had few if any complaints regarding his job performance.
- 19. From early in 2011, though, it became clear that Plaintiff was targeted by Defendants to either quit or be terminated.

20. On January 6, 2011, at the end of his shift (approximately 1 A.M.), due to problems with his patrol car, Plaintiff had the department dispatcher call a tow company to get his car. For this, Plaintiff was given a write up for not following the chain of command. Interestingly, the Supervisor who reprimanded Plaintiff did the same thing, sans reprimand, ten (10) days later. Also, Plaintiff had utilized the same procedure previously with no disciplinary actions against him, written or verbal.

- 21. Also in early 2011, Plaintiff and another, Black, deputy were offered employment with the United States Marshals. The employment would be through Washington County Sheriff's Department.
- 22. Defendant Sheriff Gaston stated that Plaintiff could not go work with the US Marshals but the black deputy could.
- 23. Working with the US Marshalls would have been a considered a promotion for Plaintiff in stature and/or salary.
- 24. Shortly thereafter, Plaintiff suffered another incident that was very similar to the situation he endured with potential employment with the US Marshals. Plaintiff was approached by a movie producer, who was filming a movie in Washington County, about playing the role of a deputy sheriff in the movie. When Plaintiff informed Defendants of the producer's request, Plaintiff was told that he could not be in the movie but a black deputy, who had not been asked by the movie producer, could perform the role.
- 25. In early August 2011, while Plaintiff was transporting a pnsoner to court, the dispatcher sent Plaintiff on a call.
- 26. The decision whether to take the prisoner straight to court or handle the dispatched call first belonged to Defendants shift sergeant, not Plaintiff.

- 27. The shift sergeant was either unavailable to make the decision or refused to do so.
- 28. Plaintiff handled the dispatched call and then took the prisoner to court. Plaintiff arrived twenty (20) minutes late as a result of handling the dispatched call on the way. Plaintiff was suspended for one (1) day for being late to court.
- 29. Shordy thereafter, while off-duty, Plaintiff was allegedly speeding in the City of Greenville, Mississippi early in the morning.
- 30. A City of Greenville police officer gave Plaintiff a verbal warning for the speeding and contacted Defendants.
- 31. Though Plaintiff was off duty, and was not given a speeding ticket, Defendants concluded that the speeding warning was Plaintiff's third violation in less than one (1) year and justified terminating Plaintiff.
- 32. Plaintiff was terminated on August 31, 2011 after refusing Defendants requests that he resign.

## VII. ALLEGATIONS OF LAW

- 33. At all times relevant, Plaintiff was a member of the Caucasian race.
- 34. At all time relevant, Plaintiff made complaints about activities protected under the applicable anti-discrimination statutes.
  - 34. At all times relevant, Plaintiff was qualified for the position of Sheriff's Deputy.
- 35. At all times relevant, Plaintiff suffered an adverse employment action when he was terminated by Defendant on or about August 31, 2011.
- 36. At all time relevant, Plaintiff was (i) replaced by someone outside the protected class of race, (ii) treated differently than a person similarly situated outside the protected class and/or (iii) otherwise discharged because of his race.

- 37. At all times relevant, Plaintiff engaged in protected activity when he complained that black employees were being treated differently than white employees.
- 38. At all times relevant, there was a causal link between Plaintiffs protected activity and his termination.
- 39. Defendant's non-discriminatory/retaliatory reason for Plaintiffs termination was that Plaintiff received three reprimands in the course of one (1) year. These reasons is not just suspect, but false, at least one employee committed the same alleged "offense" Plaintiff was accused of committing and was never reprimanded.
- 40. At all times relevant, Plaintiff would not have been discharged by Defendant but for his complaints about protected activity.
- 41. At all times relevant, Plaintiff would not have been discharged by Defendant but for his race.
- 42. At all times relevant, Defendant's decision to terminate Plaintiff was substantially motivated by his race.
- 43. At all times relevant, Defendant's decision to terminate Plaintiff was substantially motivated by his decision to engaged in protected activities.
- 44. As a result of Defendants' actions, Plaintiff was unlawfully terminated because of his race.
- 45. As a result of Defendants' actions, Plaintiff was unlawfully terminated because he complained about Defendant's discriminatory practices regarding race.
- 46. At all times relevant, Defendants acted willfully and intentionally in interfering with Plaintiffs lawful employment relationship.
  - 47. At all times relevant, Defendants' actions were calculated to cause Plaintiffinjury.

- 48. At all times relevant, Defendants' actions acts were done with the unlawful purpose for causing damage and loss, without right or justifiable cause on defendant's part.
  - 49. As a result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff has suffered injury.
- 50. As a result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff has suffered mental anxiety, stress and lost income.
  - 51. The actions of the Defendant are outrageous such that punitive damages are due.

# VIII. FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION - 42 U.S.c. § 2000 et seq. (Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended by the Civil Rights Act of 1991 - Race)

- 52. Paragraphs 1 51 of the Complaint are incorporated herein by reference, the same as though pleaded in full.
- 53. The unlawful actions of Defendant, as alleged herein, constituted an unlawful discharged based on race.
- 54. As a direct and proximate cause of Defendant's actions, Plaintiff's rights, as guaranteed by Title VII, were injured.

WHEREFORE Plaintiff prays for relief against all Defendants as set forth below.

# IX. SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION - 42 U.S.C. § 2000 et seq. (Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended by the Civil Rights Act of 1991 - Retaliation)

- 55. Paragraphs 1 54 of the Complaint are incorporated herein by reference, the same as being plead in full.
- 56. The unlawful actions of the Defendant, as alleged herein, constituted retaliation against Plaintiff for engaging in protected activity.
- 57. As a direct and proximate cause of Defendant's actions, Plaintiff's rights, as guaranteed by Title VII, were injured.

WHEREFORE Plaintiff prays for relief against all Defendants as set forth below.

Case: 4:12-cv-00051-SA-JMV Doc #: 1 Filed: 06/25/12 8 of 13 PageID #: 8

X. THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION - Supplemental State Claim (Malicious Interference with Employment)

58. Paragraphs 1-57 of the Complaint are incorporated herein by reference, the same as though plead in full.

- 59. The acts of Defendants, in interfering with Plaintiffs employment, were willful and intentional, calculated to cause damage and done for the purpose of causing said damage.
  - 60. Such actions were done without right or justifiable cause on Defendants' part.
  - 61. As a direct and proximate cause of Defendants' actions, Plaintiff was injured.

WHEREFORE Plaintiff prays for relief against all Defendants as set forth below.

### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays this Court:

- a. Assume jurisdiction over this action;
- b. Declare that Defendant's actions, as herein described, violated Plaintiffs rights under Title VII;
- c. Award Plaintiff nominal, actual and punitive damages against Defendant for the its discriminatory and retaliatory actions, as defined by Title VII,
- d. Award Plaintiff his costs of litigation, including reasonable attorney's fees and expenses, pursuant to 42 U.S.c. sec. 1988 and/or 20 U.S.c. sec. 1400 et seq.,
- e. Declare that Defendants maliciously interfered with Plaintiffs employment.
- f. Award Plaintiff actual damages in an amount to be determined by a jury for the torts of malicious interference with employment and wrongful termination;
- g. Award Plaintiff punitive damages in an amount to be determined by a jury for the torts of malicious interference with employment and wrongful termination;
- h. Grant such other relief to which Plaintiff may be entitled or as this Court deems necessary and proper.

Case: 4:12-cv-00051-SA-JMV Doc #: 1 Filed: 06/25/12 9 of 13 PageID #: 9

Respectfully submitted,

W. Brent McBride

MS Bar No. 101442

MCBRIDE LAW FIRM, PLLC.

Post Office Box 84

Tupelo, Mississippi 38802

Telephone: (662) 397-9028

brent@brentmcbride.com

Joseph R. Murray, II MS Bar #101802 MURRAY LAW FIRM, PLLC. 104 South Commerce Street Ripley, MS 38663 jrm@joemurraylaw.com

Telephone: (662) 993-8010

CICARGE 4012-01-60051-5% AJNIVNDoc#: 1	Filed: 06/25/12c10 of 13 PageIDc#ARL® NUMBER
This form is affected by the Privacy Act ; See Privacy Act Statement before completing this form!	<b>423-2011-02/80</b>
	and EEOC
State Or local Agen	
Mr. Jeffrey E. Wigginton	HOME TELEPHONE (Include Area Code)
STREET ADDRESS CITY, STATE AND ZIP CODE	DATE OF BIRTH
2851 wilcox Rd. Leland Ms. 38	150 111-7-78
NAMED IS THE EMPLOYER, LABOR ORGANIZATION, EMPLOYMENT AGENC AGENCY WHO DISCRIMINATED AGAINST ME (If more than one lis	
NAME NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES, MEMI	BERS TELEPHONE (Include Area Code)
Washington County Short 35 emp.	662-334-4923
STREET ADDRESS  CITY, STATE AND ZIP CODE  STEED 11:110.	Ms. 38701 Wash.
CAUSE OF DISCRIMINATION BASED ON (Check appropriate box(es))	DATE DISCRIMINATION TOOK PLACE EARLIEST (ADEAIEPA) LATEST (ALL)
RACE COLOR SEX RELIGI	
RETALIATION D NATIONAL D DISABILITY ORIGIN	D (Other) Pregnancy
T	continuing action
THE PARTICULARS ARE (If additional paper is need to be a discording to the discording d	nivation against the Washington Co Shelix,
dept., Ove to the fact that when I stan	And in 200% there were 17 white Deather
	111 10 11 1 1 1 1 TO TO THE THE PLANT INTER OF THE
+ 18 black lepshies, + now there is six the past 5 1/2 years I have been wrote up	& signed for many things, that some
the past 5 1/2 years I have been wrote of of the black Deputies have also done to	never got in trouble for. In 200 I
of the black pepitres have to be depity	, U.S. Marshell's but the Sheriff would
+ a black deputy were T found out that Mc	White the black deputy was approved the
go to the U.S. Marshall service by the Sh	er. If but the paper work work music
out. In 2009 1 was asked by a produce	ale all as a death. After talking to
of the black Peputies have also done to the black deputy were hited to be deputy not let us go. Leter I found out that Mc go to the U.S Morshell service by the She out. In 2009 of I was asked by a produce that was being filmed in washington co. to the sheriff he was irate & made coments	It I then Should have asked for a black person
	NOTARY - (When necessary for State and Local
EXHIBIT	Requirements)
ing A	
3 <u>7 x</u>	150 TEN
I want this charge filed with both the EEOC and the State	1
or local Agency, if any. I will advise the agencies if I change my address or telephone number and I will	I swear or affirm that I have read the above charge
cooperate fully with them in the processing of my charge in accordance with their procedures.	and that it is true to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.
I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.	SIGNATURE OF COMPLAINANT
	<del> </del>
m 9 13 11 Sufficients	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME THIS DATE (Day, month, and year)
Date 9-13-1/ charging racky (Signatur	1

o play the roll in the movie. At the place that Gary wares a black depty played the roll. As of Any 31, 2011 I have been terminated from the Washington to Sheriff office for getting into trouble three times in one year. There have been black depthes doe for worse than me and I feel that I am being iscriminated against

EEOC Form 161 (11/09)

# U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

### DISMISSAL AND NOTICE OF RIGHTS

Jeffrey E. Wigginton To: 2851 Wilcox Road Leland, MS 38756

From: Jackson Area Office 100 West Capitol Street Suite 338 Jackson, MS 39269

O	On behalf of pekson(s) aggrieved whose identity is CONFIDENTIAL (29 CFR §1601, 7(a))
FFOC Charge No.	FFOC Representative

Telephone No.

Ernestene W. Owens,

423-2011-02180 Investigator (601) 948-8415

THE EEOC IS CLOSING ITS FILE ON THIS CHARGE FOR THE FOLLOWING REASO
---

The facts alleged in the charge fail to state a claim under any of the statutes enforced by the EEOC.

Your allegations did not involve a disability as defined by the Americans With Disabilities Act.

The Respondent employs less than the required number of employees or is not otherwise covered by the statutes.

Your charge was not timely filed with EEOC; in other words, you waited too long after the date(s) of the alleged. discrimination to file your charge

Χ The EEOC issues the following determination: Based upon its investigation, the EEOC is unable to conclude that the information obtained establishes violations of the statutes. This does not certify that the respondent is in compliance with the statutes. No finding is made as to any other issues that might be construed as having been raised by this charge.

The EEOC has adopted the findings of the state or local fair employment practices agency that investigated this charge.

Other (briefly state)

### - NOTICE OF SUIT RIGHTS -

(See Ihe additional information attached to this form.)

Title VII, the Americans with Disabilities Act, the Genetic Information Nondiscrimination Act, or the Age Discrimination in Employment Act: This will be the only notice of dismissal and of your right to sue that we will send you. You may file a lawsuit against the respondent(s) under federal law based on this charge in federal or state court. Your lawsuit must be filed WITHIN 90 DAYS of your receipt of this notice; or your right to sue based on this charge will be lost. (The time limit for filing suit based on a claim under state law may be different.)

Equal Pay Act (EPA): EPA suits must be filed in federal or state court within 2 years (3 years for willful violations) of the alleged EPA underpayment. This means that backpay due for any violations that occurred more than 2 years (3 years) before you file suit may not be collectible.

Enclosures(s)

Ann Santucci

Sheriffs Secretary

Post Office Box 786

Greenville, MS 38702

WASHINGTON COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT

CC:

Wilma cou. **Dire**ctor

> W. Brent McBride, Esquire McBride Law Firm, PLLC Post Office Box 84 21 North Madison Street

Tupelo, MS 38802-0084



(Date Mailed)

Case: 4:12-cv-00051-SA-JMV Doc #: 1 Filed: 06/25/12 13 of 13 PageID #: 13

Enclosure with EEOC Form 161 (11/09)

# INFORMATION RELATED TO FILING SUIT UNDER THE LAWS ENFORCED BY THE EEOC

(This infonnation relates to filing suit in Federal or State court <u>under Federal law.</u>

If you also plan to sue claiming violations of State law, please be aware that time limits and other provisions of State law may be shorter or more limited than those described below.)

PRIVATE SUIT RIGHTS

Title VII of the Civil Rights Act, the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), the Genetic Information Nondiscrimination Act (GINA), or the Age Discrimination in Employment Act (ADEA):

In order to pursue this matter further, you must file a lawsuit against the respondent(s) named in the charge <u>within 90 days</u> of the date you *receive* this Notice. Therefore, you should keep a record of this date. Once this 90-day period is over, your right to sue based on the charge referred to in this Notice will be lost. If you intend to consult an attorney, you should do so promptly. Give your attorney a copy of this Notice, and its envelope, and tell him or her the date you received it. Furthermore, in order to avoid any question that you did not act in a timely manner, it is prudent that your suit be filed within 90 days of the date this Notice was *mailed* to you (as indicated where the Notice is signed) or the date of the postmark, if later.

Your lawsuit may be filed in U.S. District Court or a State court of competent jurisdiction. (Usually, the appropriate State court is the general civil trial court.) Whether you file in Federal or State court is a matter for you to decide after talking to your attorney. Filing this Notice is not enough. You must file a "complaint" that contains a short statement of the facts of your case which shows that you are entitled to relief. Your suit may include any matter alleged in the charge or, to the extent permitted by court decisions, matters like or related to the matters alleged in the charge. Generally, suits are brought in the State where the alleged unlawful practice occurred, but in some cases can be brought where relevant employment records are kept, where the employment would have been, or where the respondent has its main office. If you have simple questions, you usually can get answers from the office of the clerk of the court where you are bringing suit, but do not expect that office to write your complaint or make legal strategy decisions for you.

# PRIVATE SUIT RIGHTS Equal Pay Act (EPA):

EPA suits must be filed in court within 2 years (3 years for willful violations) of the alleged EPA underpayment: back pay due for violations that occurred more than 2 years (3 years) before you file suit may not be collectible. For example, if you were underpaid under the EPA for work performed from 711/08 to 1211/08, you should file suit before 7/1110 - not 1211/10 -- in order to recover unpaid wages due for july 2008. This time limit for filing an EPA suit is separate from the 90-day filing period under Title VII, the ADA, GINA or the ADEA referred to above. Therefore, if you also plan to sue under Title VII, the ADA, GINA or the ADEA, in addition to suing on the EPA claim, suit must be filed within 90 days of this Notice and within the 2- or 3-year EPA back pay recovery period.

### ATTORNEY REPRESENTATION -- Title VII, the ADA or GINA:

If you cannot afford or have been unable to obtain a lawyer to represent you, the U.S. District Court having jurisdiction in your case may, in limited circumstances, assist you in obtaining a lawyer. Requests for such assistance must be made to the U.S. District Court in the form and manner it requires (you should be prepared to explain in detail your efforts to retain an attorney). Requests should be made well before the end of the 90-day period mentioned above, because such requests do <u>not</u> relieve you of the requirement to bring suit within 90 days.

#### ATTORNEY REFERRAL AND EEOC ASSISTANCE -- All Statutes:

You may contact the EEOC representative shown on your Notice if you need help in finding a lawyer or if you have any questions about your legal rights, including advice on which U.S. District Court can hear your case. If you need to inspect or obtain a copy of information in EEOC's file on the charge, please request it promptly in writing and provide your charge number (as shown on your Notice). While EEOC destroys charge files after a certain time, all charge files are kept for at least 6 months after our last action on the case. Therefore, if you file suit and want to review the charge file, please make your review request within 6 months of this Notice. (Before filing suit, any request should be made within the next 90 days.)